ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Nationalism in Rabindranath Tagore Plays

G. Sankar

Assistant Professor, Department of English

Vel Tech Dr. RR & Dr.SR Technical University,

Chennai, Tamil Nadu

India

History reveals that institutions or artifacts produced by human beings can lead to the

exploitation or the loss of freedom of other human beings. Thus the celebration of the good life

of an Athenian citizen in Plato"s time can hide the wretchedness of vast numbers of slaves

whose labor made it possible for the few free citizens to enjoy that good life. Our criteria then

must apply to all, or at least the vast majority of the vast of the human group concerned, if they

are to lay claim to universality.

Amiya Kumar Bagchi, Perilous Passage<sup>1</sup>

The story of Indo-Anglican literature is the story of yesterday, of a little more than a

century, and today. One of the natural results of the British rule in India is the rise and

development of literature. The term "Indo-Anglican" was first used in 1883 when a book

published in Calcutta that bore the title *Indo-Anglian Literature*.

After the publication of two books by Dr.K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar, the term "Indo-

Anglian" has not only acquired considerable currency, but also has come to stay as a familiar

and accepted term applied to Indian contribution to literature in English. This has come to be

www.ijohmn.com

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

known as Indo-Anglian writing and has been quite an active school of didactic and creative art

for at least a century.

The first theatre offering English language drama in 1776, Indian drama in English has

never achieved the same status as Indian fiction and poetry in English. As in other colonies

such as Canada, the Indian theatrical scene was dominated by foreign companies, touring plays

drawn mainly from

Britain. Notable among the few examples of Indian plays written in English in the

nineteenth century are The First Parsi Baronet (1866), by C. S. Nazir, probably the earliest

Indian English play in verse, and Is This Civilization? (1871) by M.M. Dutt.

K.R.S. Iyengar, in his essay "Drama in Modern India" in Drama in Modern India and

the Writer's Responsibility in a Rapidly Changing World, 1961, which he edited, points out

that theatre implies not only a building but a cultivated audience. The production of English

plays by Indians continues to reveal an abyss between the producer and playwright, and the

audience. Indo-English drama is a purely literary activity divorced from the realities of the

theatre. While some Indian plays in English have been staged in the UK and the USA and have

received laudatory notices, Indian playwrights in general seem to neglect conditioning their

writing to meet the demands of a theatrical audience. Urban areas in India have responded well

to experiments in local language drama, but theatre in English gets little ready response.

India is a vast country with fourteen major languages. The Indian writers, who have

expressed their hopes and dreams in English, did not come from any one part of India, though,

for nearly fifty years, Bengal supplied the great bulk of Indo-Anglian writers. Thus Bengali

literature developed much faster than Hindi literature or Gujarathi literature.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Bengali literature, though developing fast, was confined to Bengal and the surrounding

places, delighting those who know Bengali. It was Rabindranath Tagore, who with his mastery

of English, translated his Bengali literary masterpieces into English and first took Indo-Anglian

Literature to the Westerners first and later to the world, earning for India name and fame.

Indian English drama can be divided along historical lines into two broad sections-

drama of the pre-Independence period and drama of the post-Independence period. The first

Indian play in English Is This Is Called Civilization was written by Michael Madhusudan Dutt

in 1871. There after no creative effort was made for about two decades. Later there were worthy

contributions from Rabindranath Tagore, Sri Aurabindo, T.P.Kailasam, A.S.P.Ayyar,

Harindranath Chattopadhaya and Bharati Sarabhai. Tagore"s well known Bengali plays were

translated into English – *The Post Office* was by Devebrata Mukerjea and *Mukta-Dhara*, *Natir* 

Puja and Chandalika were translated by Marjorie Sykes. While translating his plays from

Bengali into English, Tagore did not indulge in word for word rendering from Bengali into

English.

The publication of Salman Rushdies's Midnights Children in 1981 was rightly haile by

the New

11

York Review of Books as "one of the most important of novels to come out of the

English-speaking world in this generation." A new star dawned in Indian English literature.

Tagore began to write early at the age of ten. He had written about 7000 lines of verse before

he was eighteen. Tagore was a poet, dramatist, actor, producer, musician, painter, educationist

and a practical idealist, who turned his dreams into reality at Santiniketan.

ISSN: 2395 – 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

**2.** Tagore's Vision

He was a reformer, philosopher, prophet, novelist, short-story writer, and critic of life

and literature. He even made occasional incursion into nationalist politics, although he was

essentially inter-nationalist. As K.R.Srinivasa Iyengar comments "he was a many person, he

was darling versatility, still he was the same man: he was integral whole the rishi, guru dev,

next only to Mahathma Gandhi and Sri Aurabindo, Tagore has been supreme inspiration to

millions in modern

India." Tagore"s literary output is large. His major poetics are, Gitanjali, Crescent

Moon, Fruit Gathering, Stray Birds, Lovers Gift, The Gardener, Crossing, Fugitive, Kavi

Kahani, Santhiya Sangeet, Sona Tari, Kalpana, Swedish, Shishu, Purabi Akash Pradeep,

Parandk, Naba-Jadak, John Madine, In Sheshlekha, Manashi, Mana Sundari, etc.

As a play wright he wrote many plays, in which some of them are musical plays; they

are,

Chitra, King and Dark Chamber, The post Office, Red Oleanders, Balcony,

Chandalika, and Natir

Puja. Tagore was also a novelist and who has written so many novels like Home and

the World, The Wreck, Gora, Chaturang. He was also a short story writer and he was written

stories like Mashi and Other Stories, Hungry Stories and Other Stories, The Home Coming,

Capuli Wallah, The Child Return, The Subja, The Post Master and The Babus of Nayangjore.

He was also an essayist and his essays including Sadhana (Lectures), Personality, (Lectures

delivered in America), Hundred Poems of Kabir (Translated), Autobiography of Maharishi and

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Tagore (Biography) Raju Bhakti (Political essays), Devendranath Jipan Mirte

(Reminiscences), were much acclaimed by the readers and critics alike.

The pen of Tagore raised letter writing to the status of a literary genre in Bengali.

Throughout his life he wrote innumerable letters, almost all of them are rich in thought and

expression. Tagore's last role as a creative artist was that of the painter. It started from the

criss-cross scratches in one rough copy of his poems. The inspiration and urge of Tagore as an

artist is different from his literary inspiration and urge. This perhaps makes Tagore one of the

complete man of art, one world has ever known.

The first half of the twentieth century is generally known as the age of Tagore in modern

Bengali literature. As the second half of the nineteenth century is generally known as the

Bankim era, so the first half of the twentieth century may be called the Rabindra era.

Rabindranath"s reputation, however, started in the eighties of the nineteenth century with

publication of a number of his poetical works, novels, plays and books of essays bearing the

stamp of his genius.

Bankim Chandra was then the uncrowned king of Bengali literature and the fame of

young

13

Rabindranath was continued to limited circles. Rabindranath"s poetical works from

Sandhya Sangit

(Evening Song, 1882) to Caitali (1986), plays from Prakrtir Pratisodh (Nature"s

Revenge, 1884) to Malini (1986) and two novels, Bau Thakuranir Hat (Market of Daughter-

in-law, 1883) and *Rajarishi* (King-Ascetic, 1887) reveal the versatility and depth of his talent.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Even then it was not possible for Rabindranath to shot into prominence and only after

the award of the Nobel Prize in 1913, he precisely began to influence in a big way Bengali

literature and the writers of Bengali. His influence is still dominant even so many years after

his death, and there is no dot that it will continue to influence for years to come.

Rabindranath attained universal eminence in Bengal, for the first-time, for his Gitanjali

(Song Offerings). His recognition as Visve-Kavi (a worked poem was also partly due to

Gitanjali). In 1913, he was awarded by the Swedish academy, the Nobel Prize for literature for

his Song-Offerings (the English renderings of Gitanjali). In addition to Gitanjali, it contains

some poems in translation from other poetical works. As the recipient of the Nobel Prize, he

became instantly famous all over the world and received unexpected admiration from India

and abroad.

**3.** Tagore's Poetry

The Post Office is considered to be his best play. W.B. Yeats in his preface to the play

makes the following comments "on the stage the little play shows that it is perfectly

constructed, and conveys to the right audience an emotion of gentleness and peace." (Indian

Writing in English 186)

The most notable thing about Tagore"s plays is their variety. Chandalika, a short lyrical

play, brings out of the cardinal truth that all caste and class distinctions are false and that all

human beings are equal. Mukta Dhara has a political tone and the theme of the play is defiance

through passive resistance. In *Chitra*, Tagore presents the evaluation of human love from the

physical to the spiritual. Sacrifice, The Cycle of Spring and Red Oleanders are some of his

other notable play.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

It is a curious thing how often people refer to Rabindranath Tagore (1861-1941) as if

he has a future. This is sometimes about his poetry being timeless – as great poetry should be

- although with Tagore the awesomely beautiful and significant lyrical verse is held out by his

Bengali admirers, only to be snatched back from anyone who does not know his language. It

is more curious that the other Tagore – the one with the myriad mind – who left a vast amount

of non-literary writings, much of it the vestiges of his efforts to communicate his ideas to

uncomprehending and unreceptive audiences – is written about as if he has a future, a future in

which he will deliver on his promises.

The demands on the future Tagore have become more urgent as time has gone on, as

we see from what is written at his significant birth anniversaries. In 1986, the 125<sup>th</sup> birth

anniversary, a weeklong international seminar was held at the Indian Institute of Advanced

Study in Shimla, on the subject of "Rabindranath Tagore and the Challenges of Today." In

2011, for the 150<sup>th</sup>, conferences and commemorative volumes appeared with titles such as

Contemporarising Tagore and the World

(Dhaka), "Tagore"s Relevance Today" (Dartington, Devon), "Revisiting Tagore"

(Tagore Centre, London) and "Tagore: The Global Impact of a Writer in the Community"

(Edinburgh).

**4.** Tagore's Drama

Bengali sociologist and economist, Sasadhar Sinha, writing in the 1960s in his Social

Thinking of Rabindranath Tagore, suggests that Tagore's ideal of human unity "could only

come when the present possibilities of compromise and reform had been completely

exhausted," and that this would involve "the disappearance of one"s own familiar world"

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

(Sinha 53). Tagore wrote in 1941, in his last e ssay "Crisis in Civilization," of a "new dawn"

to come when the cataclysm was over (359). The end of the Second World War and of British

rule in India did not bring that new dawn; another whole lifetime has passed since Tagore's

death and we are still waiting. I suggest in this article that we are waiting for the end of the

modern era, which began at the end of the seventeenth century when

Newtonian ideas of universal order began to be extended to "positive" and "rational"

studies of human nature, history and progress (Cassirer 3-8). There have been critics of the

Enlightenment from the beginning – the great historian of ideas, Isaiah Berlin, has written on

three of them: Vico, Hamann and Herder. Berlin has also written, albeit briefly, on Tagore,

not making any direct connection with these thinkers, but with a sense of a connection,

perhaps with Herder in particular.

The trajectory of expectations of a Tagorean future has its origin at the birth centenary

in 1961.

16

Only twenty years after Tagore's death, there were many people around who had

known the poet in life. The handsome Centenary Volume produced by the Sahitya Akademi

has a special section of contributions from his close relatives, friends and colleagues. The

Introduction to the book is by

Jawaharlal Nehru, India"s first Prime Minister, who writes that he grew up under

Tagore"s influence, which he sees as an emotional and spiritual one (Nehru xiii-xvi).

Contrasting Tagore with Gandhi,

Nehru says: "Tagore was the poet and the singer; Gandhi was the man of action, the

true revolutionary," who "crept into the hearts of those who were disinherited and whose life

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

was one long tale of unhappiness." After musing over Tagore's "outlook on life," Nehru decides that Tagore, for all his Indianness, was "essentially a person of international mould and thinking," who helped to break down the barriers of nationalism, which is apt to become a "narrowing creed," "and yet," Nehru writes, Tagore "believed firmly in a people growing from their own soil and according to their own genius" (xv). Those words "and yet" (my emphasis) are crucial to understanding Tagore's "outlook on life" – and why it is that the hopes that were so high in 1961 were disappointed in 1986 and 2011. 1961 is a long time ago, and one is bound

to wonder about the high hopes in 1961 for a Tagorean future – and what went wrong.

We can get a good idea of the kind of future for India Tagore wanted from one of the books which came out of the centenary celebrations. *Towards Universal Man* is a collection of eighteen representative essays, "containing a message for humanity," with a lengthy eulogy on Tagore"s genius by Humayun Kabir, India"s Minister for Scientific Research and Cultural Affairs (Kabir 1-35). This was the first book on Tagore that I read, after hearing about him in 1990 from Marjorie Sykes, who had worked as a teacher at Santiniketan in the last years of Tagore"s life. She told me that Tagore was a deep ecologist, and quoted from his essay "City and Village," where he relates an intriguing fable about how a race of greedy moon people had ruined their planet. "My imaginary selenites," Tagore writes, "behave exactly in the way that human beings are today <u>are</u> behaving upon this earth" (314). I found a copy of the book containing that essay, and learned from it that Tagore"s vision of the new India meant reviving the life of its villages (Tagore 302-22). Some years later I found out more about his rural reconstruction projects when I carried out research on the Elmhirst Papers in the Dartington Hall Trust Archive. I recognised the approach which Tagore and Elmhirst had taken as

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

essentially the same as relocalisation initiatives gradually attracting support worldwide in

recent years. Since then I have been interested in making connections between Tagore

enthusiasts and people currently engaged in his kind of world change.

At the end of Bertolt Brecht"s *Three Penny Opera* the chorus laments the fate of those

who sink, nameless, into the shadows under the stage or society glaring footlights. Narratives

of both dramatic and economic development generally name the "successful", the "heroic",

those captains of capital and class who know how to profit from the misery and poverty of

others. It is of this same darkness in the heart of light, the moral and social ethos of capitalist

industrialism and its state, that two Indian authors, Manik Bandyopadhyay and

Mahasweta Devi, speak- In his short story, *The Reptiles*, <sup>2</sup> Manik Bandyopadhyay tells

the story of human reptiles, of petty Bengali bhadralok of colonial Calcutta, who lure to his

death a handicapped orphan child, sinking their claws into him to gain his inheritance. Manik

ends his story by expanding this trope of deep penetration in search of property, through the

image of an airplane flying to the jungles of Sunderban where animals are cowering in front of

human "civilizing" and "development" missions.

Masesweta Devi portrays the developmental predations of postcolonial capitalist India

by the same class agents in her stories in Nairite Megh.<sup>3</sup> One, in particular, tells us of the

devastation of adivasi rights, appropriation of their land and forest fights through describing

their punishment for resisting forces of development. They are barred from buying salt.

Assaulted by the trinitarian forces of law, order and capital, adivasis retreat deeper into the

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

forest, blood thickening in their veins. One dimly lit forest night witnesses them crawling on

all fours sharing the salt lick with animals. This turn of the story is no more a trick of magic

realism than the factual one preformed on the adivasis of Jajpur, Orissa, cursed by the presence

of uranium under their soil. They were not only murdered by the forces of state and capital, but

returned from the police morgue to haunt their relatives with hands chopped off for the purpose

of an enduring identification meant for the lowly and the nameless.

It is against this kind of "development", of long shadows under the proscenium lights

of patriarchal, casteist and communalist capitalism, national and international, that various

critiques of development have been enunciated.<sup>4</sup> Mine is no exception, but not without

signaling that there are other ways of developing, of

projecting another development, the basis of which is articulated under other

theoretical/political horizons than those advanced by the U.S. modernization theorists which

provide in some form the present neoliberal development. I, on the other hand, insist that

",another world is possible" – a demand uttered by the militants of Chiapas, Mexico. <sup>5</sup> But this

19

refusal of conventional and now neoliberal corporate anti-human development cannot be

actualized without exposing and resisting once again its destructive and seductive paradigms

and practices. The lure of "India Shining", the glitter of hyper shopping malls, miles of

highways, development of vedic villages and health spas, SEZs, among other instances of

"development", clearly indicate who the beneficiaries of this development are. Remembering

the dire results of the then much vaunted "green revolution", we are moving into destruction

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

of agriculture, facing a food crisis on extended premises of the same. Contrary to what Marx

said, that tragedy did not just become a farce, but a much deeper and darker tragedy - with

mind-numbing levels of dispossession, including of life for the majority in India.<sup>6</sup> So history

cannot, and should not, be replayed, but as Walter Benjamin in the dark days of techno-

fetishism of the Nazis said, it must be witnessed even as we move forward towards resistance

or abyss. <sup>7</sup> It is for this reason that Paul

Klee's angel of history, driven forward by the winds of progress, looks back while

debris of burning cities pile up ahead.

Let me begin with the sense of paradox I regularly experience when teaching my

seminar on women and development. We are all struck by the complexity and contestation

encoded in this concept, this hold-all category, and how differently it is understood by scholars

and practitioners coming from their divergent knowledge spaces and social and geopolitical

locations. And how long this contestation has been going on – we can remind ourselves of the

old, now almost forgotten debates and critiques of modernization produced by Andre Gunder

Frank, Samir Amin, Immanuel Wallerstein, or Amiya Kumar Bagchi. We see their

confirmation in the works of Utsa Patnaik and Jayati Ghosh, or in the world wide debates

reincarnated between neo-liberal globalizers, the market worshippers, and those who see

through the mystifications and contortions into neoliberalism as a more developed version of

neo-colonialism. Going along with them, with theorists and critics such as David Harvey,

Amiya Bagchi, David McNally, James Petras or Prabhat Patnaik, one can see that

",development" as we know it, has always needed an adjective. The fight is between two

www.ijohmn.com

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

developments, with radically opposed adjectives, capitalist and human - and Amiya Bagchi"s

new book, Perilous Passage, bears witness to this struggle. Unless consciously fought for, in

the full light of contradiction between these two kinds of development, horrifying peril has

been the lot of most people, while capital has razed its way into a triumphal passage. As Iraq,

Afghanistan, Sudan and Somalia indicate, this is how it is going to be for quite

some time to come, until resistance in the name of the human, the inherent value

encoded in that concept, gathers volume enough to challenge the ruthless principles of property

and their related proprieties. It is amazing how this bland expression "development", or its

correlate "growth", have come to hold so much menace. Was it always so? How did

"development" come to acquire these perversions? What were its earlier names?

Development: a conceptual composite and a practical contradiction

Let us begin by remembering that "development" is an ambiguous space, quite

specific in its intentions and procedures, its subject-agents and object-receivers. It operates in

many registers which include convergences of socio-economic, cultural and political forces,

their coherences as well as downright contradictions. As such any mention of "development"

should be pluralized, though certain politics and practices have driven it in a singular

direction. Inscribed in it are many definitions and many objectives and desires, which

historical changes have brought to an eventual singularization and incorporation within the

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

grand narrative of industrial capitalism and imperialism. In its reduced singular common usage it has lost all its humane and ethical properties, its location in demands for equality and sharing, and become conceived and shaped as the instrument, the end, and the legitimation of capitalism and its global outreach. Historians of the concept, such as Jorge Larrain, or even literary critics and etymologists who trace the social changes in words/concepts, such as Raymond Williams, have placed its earlier incarnation as social and qualitative "improvement" of life in the era of Vico, and outline its progress through much of the 18<sup>th</sup> and 19th century Western social thought. And, undeniably, in its earlier phase, before the high noon of English industrial capitalism, it contained deeper moral and cultural dimensions. Emma Rothschild"s Economic Sentiments, for example, shows the world of ideas where moral economic concepts and practices evolved. 10 But with time "development" came to signify technocentrism at the service of capital.

In its earlier phase as "improvement" this notion was secular, social and humanist. Though technology as the new horizon already beckoned it, technology had not become the director and governor of "improvement".

The late 18th and early 19th century appropriated "development" or "improvement" for the purpose of signaling an individual or organically social wholeness – for example, as in Samuel Taylor Coleridge's or William Wordsworth's writings, while industrial revolution with its utilitarian and Whig perception emphasizing skills education and wealth signaled it elsewhere.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

This mixed ground also was a forked road. As the 19th century rolled in, the dawn of "progress" was signaled by an emphasis on moral pedagogical improvement, in particular, of the child. Education, including of imagination, of sentiments and sensibilities, came to mean, as Coleridge said, "educing the whole soul of man", while utilitarian rationalist, technological pedagogical impetus co-existed side by side as displayed and critiqued by Charles Dickens in Hard Times. A metaphysical pedagogy, perhaps most eloquently captured in the modern myth of Dr. Frankenstein, the only equal to the older and constantly reworked Faust myth, came to offer a contrast to the utilitarian social engineering version of pedagogy. It is perhaps important to note that the educational projects aimed at the lower middle class working class children were generally christian and utilitarian. The elite children"s education could be more humanistic, imaginative and child-centred. In this romantic approach "development" meant for the middle classes did not emphasize education as "techne" for wealth, as a strategy for ruling and disciplining. This kind of education was not seen as an adjunct to producing cogs in social and economic wheels, but rather as a deep moral and aesthetic pleasure, in the scheme of which it was better to travel than to arrive. The meaning of development in the 19<sup>th</sup> century shed much of its romanticism as it not only incorporated utilitarianism, but also the growing interest and research in biological/natural sciences. It resonated with fallout effects of evolutionism, including eventually a large dose of social darwinism. Sandra Harding in *The Racial Economy* of Science, Sander Gilman in Difference and

Pathology or Stephen J. Gould in The Mismeasure of Man told us about the knowledge/ power or ideological

11 Needless to say, it gave rise to the notion of and governing dimensions of this type of "developmentalism". developmentally retarded individuals, peoples and cultures and the

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

notion of the "abnormal", eagerly seized upon by the medical profession<sup>12</sup>. The horrific

24

consequences of such thinking were found in the extermination of physically and mentally

disabled people in Haar in Nazi Germany, where the "underdeveloped" were eliminated. So

technologism, on the one hand, and a racially and pervertedly humanistic biologism, on the

other, told narratives of horror and development in one breathe.

The dark side of development is also, in my opinion, reflected and rooted in what Marx

called the myth of primitive accumulation, the myth being that the present day rich, the owners

of capital, gained their seed money

13 from the hard labour and sacrifice of their ancestors. This myth of the hardworking,

deserving capitalist was most notably and sustainedly critiqued by Marx as he spoke of the

reality of primitive accumulation as being one of forced/violent/relentless, extralegal and legal

separation of the majority of humankind from their means of livelihood, and their eventual

reduction to the status of wage labour, the state of competitive commoditization of labour

power, of human capacity for creating, for the purpose of capital accumulation. The terror of

this primitive accumulation has echoed through centuries – and most who have been pushed

out of land and productive resources, their social and cultural spaces, have faced pauperization,

not proletarianization. This situation was powerfully captured in poems, prophesies and

illustrations of William Blake. What is obvious, and remarked upon by many scholars, ranging

from political economists to critical geographers and scholars of urban studies, is that the

process of "primitive accumulation" is far from over.

The current "globalization" with its financial/military compulsions

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

15 should be read in this perspective. What Hardt and Negri call "Empire" is an

entity none other than this. So what

Marx called the "genesis" of capital, whose history is written in annals of blood and

fire, both internal to the West and outside in the colonized, enslaved and even genocidal spaces,

are annals of predatory dispossessions ranging from early colonialism and slavery to the

invasion of Iraq. Separating peoples, even nations, from their resources, territorial, social and

cultural creativity and control, eliminating, marginalizing and degrading whole

16 populations in the process, still continue. They fall, as Ellen Wood shows, well

within the "logic of capital".

This ,,little matter of genocide", as Ward Churchill calls it, 17 has been widely

documented, and King 18 still haunts us in the quagmire of sub-Saharan Africa. Leopold"s

ghost

Indian Literature in English has acquired a new identity as much identity as American

and Austrian literature have acquired which, of course, is quite distinct from Indian English.

The efforts by writers like Raja Rao in Indianizing English language cannot be ignored, though

it is very difficult to express the Indian sensibility in English to clothe the very Indianness in

English tongue – though it has gone into the very system of life – without making it appear

bizarre is yet another difficulty for the cloth which sometimes is either too long or too short

which makes one prefer the naked majesty itself. A rapprochement is somehow wrought

between Indianess and the English tongue and sometimes vice versa.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Indian writing in English also known as Indo-Anglican writing has gained its reputation

in the world as other English writings. Indian writing in English comes under post-colonial

literature which is the production previously colonized countries such as India. It has evolved

into various genres such as poetry, prose, novel, drama and short story. Drama has now become

a fruitfully cultivated field in Indian Literature in English.

History reveals that institutions or artifacts produced by human beings can lead to the

exploitation or the loss of freedom of other human beings. Thus the celebration of the good life

of an

Athenian citizen in Plato's time can hide the wretchedness of vast numbers of slaves

whose labor made it possible for the few free citizens to enjoy that good life. Our criteria then

must apply to all, or at least the vast majority of the vast of the human group concerned, if

they are to lay claim to universality.

During the early twentieth century the theatre moment in the Indian languages gathered

momentum but not the English theatre. Rabindaranath Tagore, Kailasam, Gurucharan Das, Sri

Aurobindo and Asif Currimbhoy are some of the renowned playwrights of the yesteryear.

Drama is integral to Indian literature and culture. Traditional theatre played a vital role in

integrating and harmonizing divergent stands of our social fabric based on race, sub-cultures,

languages and regions. New interpretations of old, known tales and relating them to

contemporary life are not alien practice.

Indian theatre was not a means of entertainment or individual"s desire for self-

expression. It was aimed at education and edification, as well.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Rabindranath Tagore belonged to this era of national awakening with its deep roots in

Bengal. The Noble Laureate proved himself the most successful writer in finding a new path

for the world outside. Acclaimed as the "Spiritual Guru" for India, he was essentially a writer

with modern vision and a gifted novelist with a message for the rebirth of spirit of

individualism. He is one of the internationally recognized writers and has produced a number

of pieces in literature in English. He used in his writings history as backdrop and he used the

real characters from history in his dramas for

example, Bimbisara, Ajatasatru and Devadatta are historical names in Buddha"s period.

According to a traditional story that the king Bimbisara was killed by his own son by

the intention of capturing the throne and as far another tradition the king himself gave up his

kingship for the sake of his son Ajatasatru. This is clearly portrayed by Tagore in his play,

*Natir Puja* in which he mixed up history with fiction and produced a wonderful piece of work.

This play depicts how history has become a part in interweaving the work. Historicism may be

contrasted with reductionist theories, which suppose that all developments can be explained by

fundamental principles (such as in economic determinism), or theories that posit historical

changes as result of random chance.

Buddhism is major part in this play. Tagore was inspired by Buddhism and the

teachings of Buddha. It is only in Buddhism that there is hope for the world driven by greed

and hatred and torn by conflict and cruelty. Buddhism in the play has been depicted with deep

sympathy and understanding.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

The endless procession of Buddhist monks and nuns that bestride the stage in the play sing songs of praise to the Buddha and their formula of Refuge. Refuge teaches of a dharma that saves, and which stands supreme in the *Sangha* and serves as their formula of prayer. The play *Natir Puja* throws light on the history which gave importance to spiritual growth and also witnessed the social condition of down-trodden. This play talks about a dancing-girl Srimati who lives in the palace of Bimbisara and is asked to offer whatever precious things she has, and princesses are jealous of her that she has been blessed by a Buddhist monk Upali. Srimati receives an order from the king Ajatasatru not to offer any worship in the *stupa*. It is clear that the king Ajatasatru forbids the practice of Buddhism under the influence of Devadatta. She is unmindful of the king"s order and on the day of Purnima of Vaisakhi she offers her dance worship to the lord Buddha.

The climax of the play is her impassioned and awe-inspiring dance. One day one by one she removes her jewels and costly garments, casting each in turn on the broken alter as an offering until she stands revealed in the simple yellow rope of a Buddhist nun and face penalty. When she dies, she thinks that Buddha wants her dance from her and out of the jealousy of the princess she is killed.

Tagore by bringing out the theme of *Natir Puja* from history showcases the condition of the Buddha period. He also was influenced by the teachings of Buddha. He sees Buddhism as a solution for social discriminations. Srimati, dancing-girl finds shelter in Buddha. Tagore believes that Buddhism teaches the highest value of life because it emphasizes unity and love. This is the reason why Buddhism was established everywhere. And he also gives a picture of

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

how people of lower caste and down-trodden were treated. Thus this project is an attempt to

study the social and cultural issues as expressed by Tagore in his Natir Puja in its historical

and political context.

The current neoliberal, corporate driven "development" conducted by expelling people

from the land in primarily agricultural countries, such as India, should be seen as a part of this

process. "All that is solid melts into

19

air", taking with it land and livelihood, introducing people-hostile technology for

corporate capitalist agriculture, introducing seed, pesticide and fertilizer packages all in the

service of Cargill or Monsanto: this can only lead to the

20 thousands of farmer suicides that we have heard of. Putting profit before people,

erasing knowledges that stand in the way, the market becomes the only way of conducting

social relations of fulfilling desire and achieving identity. Those who cannot buy, namely the

poor, whose governments refuse to buy their basic amenities for them and divert revenues

collected from the public to subsidize local and foreign capitalists, must stand away from and

die facilitating the path of "development". In the world we live in, technology has been pitted

against people, creating workers" redundancy irrespective of the size of the population. That

what I am saying is not just marxist rhetoric is proved by simply reading one day's newspaper.

Any newspaper – even the Kolkata English newspaper, *The Telegraph*, will do.

So ",development" under these circumstances is not of the people, by the people or for

people. It does not create or augment human capacity, but the very alienation of this capacity,

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

creating of the most poor a separate species. This objective externalization of human capacity

against the very producers themselves is breathtaking. Of course science and technology are

of the essence of this development. But it should be made clear, as Walter

Benjamin did in his "Work of art in an age of mechanical reproduction", 21 that it is not

either science or technology that itself constitutes the problem, but the social relations,

objectives and modalities within which they are activated. But then the content and the

direction of research at the behest of giant corporations are decided and prioritized by them

while the elected so-called democratic governments subsidize them at the cost of ordinary

people. So making and selling armaments, corporate agri-research, health and pharmaceutical

research driven by profit, conversion of objects of basic needs like water into profit-making

commodities, <sup>22</sup> and finally, the low price of nationally corralled or migrant/trafficked/refugee

labour – all contribute to this <sup>23</sup>

type of development"s darkness.

As consciousness is ultimately socially produced, needless to say that this development

generates a culture – a glittering vacuous culture of techno-romanticism and consumption –

extending from aesthetics of war to big dams, cars and diamonds steeped in blood and showing

up as a woman's best friend, forever. From the ethical fountainhead of progress, the driving

force of development, a vista of incremental prosperity springs forth. Since statistics and

economics work with averages, it has been omitted by the discourse of "development" to ask

for whom is this development, by whom, with what means and towards what real object. A

great deal of triumphalism went on in the past and now reaches a roar in the project of

domination of nature, but it is a rationality, a transcendence that spirals out the very existence

www.ijohmn.com

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

of humans on earth in a nuclear glow, while trying in laboratories to create human beings and

immortality.

What I am saying is, of course, not new. In the case of India "green revolution" and

Nehruvian romance with big dam projects, have come up for sustained and trenchant criticism.

If being right meant being effective, things would have changed a long time ago through the

"green revolution", and not spell out as corporate agribusiness"s recipe for farmers" suicide

and pauperism. Nor did the barrage of feminist critiques of development make a real impact,<sup>24</sup>

though much of its criticism and authors got co-opted to serve 25 and national and international

non-governmental projects for applying band aids to the violence

"femocracy" of development. Yet there are feminist activists and scholars who are still

concerned with the fate of peasants and farmers, of environmental devastation and degradation,

who continue to tear apart the façade of "development" or try to wrest from the state the justice

that is owing to the "objects" of development by means ranging from political struggles through

public interest litigation for human rights. I need to only cite a few names to show how social

research has been enriched by gender analysis, how it has exposed the Cartesian dualism of

masculinist dimensions of development's goal of domination of nature and indigenous

peoples. Let us remember, for example, Maria Mies, Geeta Sen, Arundhati Roy, Veronica

Benholtz, Swasti Mitter, Sheila Rowbotham, Beena Agarwal, Virginia Vargas, Domitila

Barrios Chungara, and Rigoberta Menchu, among others.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

We also need to remember that even those who are with establishments of capital (local

and international) have been forced to admit the horrendous price that poor people, and women

in particular, pay for 26 Criticism has ranged from sweatshop labour to aboriginal or poor

peasant women foraging "development". for a living in the jungles of the cities or the

countryside – Bombay or Rio de Janeiro or the Amazon. The victimization of poor women has

also been profound in their bodies – their reproductive apparatus bondage to various ruling

apparatuses. Susan George and others have repeatedly insisted that the poor, poor women, in

particular pay the price of foreign debt and so-called economic reforms.<sup>27</sup> Authors in India,

such as Utsa Patnaik or Madhura Swaminathan, have written about public distribution system

and food security in India.<sup>28</sup>

The list goes on.

Yet, after this litany of horrors, if we should still wish to redeem this notion of

"development" (though there is no compulsion about why we should, we could altogether

switch to other concepts). But if we just should want to retain it, reclaim it and refashion it,

then we could still hear the small voice of the early romantic, non-colonial, nonoppressive

humanism that once inscribed the cognates of this notion. The human-centric, child-centric,

socialitymotivated use of this concept of development could push out the other adjective –

capitalist. It could also be enhanced with the developmentalism of human capacity that C. B.

MacPherson spoke about. We could get off John

Stuart Mill"s "see-saw" between human developmental and market/capitalist

democracy and settle for participatory

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

29 democracy. nurturing and celebrating human capacities for creativity and good life. Progressive liberal thought has

read into "development" in a sensitive, humanist way but has not given us a way **30** of actualizing these ideas. Social democracy of capitalism has not been able to create conditions against class and capital to give a full participatory status to ordinary people and to create conditions for real physical and social well-being where development would be realized for the majority. But to accomplish this even marginally, even at the level of conceptualization, the notions and practices of universal citizenship, human rights and imaginative growth are directions that need to be followed. The contradiction that is integral to capitalism, and corporate capitalism in particular, between social wellbeing and a political economy of profit, is found even by those who want to produce for us capitalism with a human face, fashion responsible "corporate citizens" and "empower" poor women. But whether they can do so or not, by using these discourses of "improvement" and a non-profit orientation they acknowledge the need to redeem "development" to a human purpose. We have long lived in a world where capitalist techno-romanticism has become hegemonic in many guises. For the left subscription to "development" with a progressivist techno-rationality, the motivation has not been profit before people, but rather a fetishization of "science" and "progress". This is indicated by a part of the left"s constant use of the discourse of "backwardness", and some in the Left Front governed state of West Bengal have succumbed to the highly limited aim of what is "realistic" in capitalist terms. From the now defunct Soviet Union to present day China there are lessons for the Left to learn. Why failure, and what price success?

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

It is perhaps not too presumptuous for me to say that the end of all social endeavour,

including that of development, should be people themselves. Nothing can be an alibi for their

well-being, their happiness for their short allotted time on earth. We have to bridge the gap that

lies between the ideals of human development and the impediments in social and political

conditions that prevent these ideals from being even barely actualized. But first we should hear

further how one Indian social thinker, poet-philosopher and pedagogue took up that project. I

am speaking of Rabindranath Tagore.

Rabindranath, Development and Decolonization

Decolonization never takes place unnoticed for it has an effect on being, it changes

being fundamentally. It transforms spectators crushed with their inessentiality into privileged

actors, caught in a spectacular manner by the floodlights of History. It introduces into being a

peculiar rhythm, heralded by new people, a new language, a new humanity. Decolonization is

a veritable creation of new human beings. Frantz Fanon, The Wretched of the Earth<sup>31</sup>

One day while I stood watching at early dawn the sun sending out its rays from behind

the trees, I suddenly felt as if some ancient mist had in a moment lifted from my sight... The

invisible screen of the common place was removed from all things and all men, and their

ultimate significance was intensified in my mind; and this is the definition of beauty. That

which was memorable in this experience was its human message, the sudden expansion of my

consciousness in the super-personal world of man.

Tagore, The Religion of Man<sup>32</sup>

www.ijohmn.com

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Though the notion of "decolonization" was most prominently articulated in the context

of Algerian and other African revolutions, especially in the writings of Frantz Fanon, I have

chosen to use this term in relation to Rabindranath"s proposals for and achievements of

postcoloniality. Decolonization is a more apt expression for what Rabindranath aimed at than

either anticolonialism or nationalism, for reasons that we will see below.

In fact Rabindranath"s refusal of nationalism in general, and its Indian manifestation in

particular, has been remarked upon by many scholars, the most searching and early

presentation of which is to be found in Sumit

Sarkar's Swadeshi Movement in Bengal<sup>33</sup>. Equally notable, however, is

Rabindranath"s untiring and lifelong effort to create cultural identities and social subjectivities

that are substantive and independent of colonial inflections. It seems to me that his vision of

human development, termed by Sarkar and others as "constructive swadeshi", is best captured

by the idea of "decolonization", which involves a proposal of social transformation, along what

could be called humanist/, modernist" paths of the civil society itself. The emphasis was on the

development of various aspects of the civil society with a concentration on the development of

a self, and positive self-other relations. In the perspective of colonialism this self-other relation

goes well beyond the personal to a wider public sphere, to the society as a whole. Rabindranath

was especially perturbed by these types of cultural identities, political and moral subjectivities

which were circulating among middle class

Bengalis, and particularly in the templates for "national" identity. In Gora, for example,

we find how he reacted to this Hindu ethnicist national imaginary and its sense of self and

politics and engaged in a narrative of a decolonizing process of formation of this identity,

thereby leading to a constructive swadeshi social transformation.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Rabindranath devised a "pedagogy of decolonization" rather than engage in

conventional politics, in both practical and conceptual-aesthetic terms. His pedagogical

institutions - Shantiniketan, Sriniketan and Visva Bharati - were evolved along such

decolonizing humanist developmental visions. His intentions may be surmised from the

statement: "...I have no distrust of any culture because of its foreign character. On the contrary,

I believe that the shock of the outside forces is necessary for maintaining the vitality of our

intellect."34 Furthermore, he stated that "...all the elements of our culture have to be

strengthened, not to resist the culture of the West, but to accept it and assimilate it. We

must...not live on sufferance as hewers of texts and drawers of book learning". 35

In fashioning this vision of autonomous, evolved and open social subjectivities

Rabindranath obviously wanted to chart a path away from colonialism and its binary

nationalism, which he saw emerging in the first decade of the 20th century. The idea was to

open up a space of creative self and social formation marked by receptivity and mutuality.

The critical knowledge bases for these transformative proposals and efforts were clearly

in the tradition of social reformism heralded by the towering figure of Raja Rammohan Roy,

whom Rabindranath admired deeply. His intention/desire was to go well beyond simple social

and institutional reforms towards the construction of an aesthetic and moral typology for a

"new man" and a "new society". This is not so different from the romantic utopian impulse of

social pedagogy that marked his time and the century into which he was born. He not only

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

wrote about a redemptive social pedagogy, but sought the means to practicalize such visions

which entailed the redemption of a violated and violent space of colonialism and nationalism.

In the schools he established in Shantiniketan and Shriniketan he experimented with building

a model for a decolonized and world society. This complex situation, capturing the dialectics

of the colonial experience, is noted by Amiya Bagchi in his charting of the "perilous passage"

of capitalism: "Throughout the history of colonialism, there was a dialectical relationship

between the civilization mission of the colonizers and the absorption of the learning of the

Europeans; many of the new perspectives and knowledge were used by the colonized for

resisting oppression and cultural imperialism."<sup>36</sup> Thus even the violence of the classroom and

rote learning, which he rejected since childhood, were shunned. In his parable Tota Kahini he

remarked on the dire consequences of feeding children with facts and numbers in the name of

education, much as Dickens criticized utilitarian schooling in Hard Times. What Rabindranath

sought to develop in and through his pedagogic space and practice was a creativeethical

environment in which the mind and imagination thrive, not wither. His project of a

decolonizing human development aspired at once to free the mind, culture and society.

It is important to note that Rabindranath"s developmental philosophy and practices

were not only romantic and liberal, but that they were so in the teeth of colonialism. He not

only sought to unbind the liberatory and creative potentials of children and adults, but had to

steer a course between being mindful of colonialism and all that is entailed in it and yet not

being caught in a simplifying reactive and referential relation to it. For a colonized subject to

aspire to a universalist humanism was indeed a project against the grain – a gesture that could

be, and was, misunderstood by both the nationalists and the lofty pretension of the colonialists.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

His metaphysical modernism/humanism aspired to a universalist morality posited in the social

background of a casteist and communalist society, inscribed and reinforced with colonialism.

He called for constant rejection of narrowness, chauvinism, binary worldviews and other forms

of particularism, which become an easy reflex for colonized peoples. But this was no vacuous

transcendence as it retained the lineaments of strong specificities of its socio-historical origins

and the political bondage of India. His dynamic social and aesthetic pedagogy marked a

journey between what is and what ought to be.

It is obvious that this humanism, with its modernist aesthetic, a form of aesthetic

metaphysics, demands a breakthrough between the self and other, nature and culture, emotion

and reason. If this task is not possible, then neither is pedagogy, self-creation or social

transformation. It would appear that Rabindranath was largely successful, at least at the

creative-moral level, in doing this. A few words need to be said here about Rabindranath's

notion of the self, whose recognition and aesthetic-spiritual construction forms the basis of his

personal, social and political pedagogy. The achievement of the substantiveness of this "self"

in himself and others, his students and readers/interlocutors, could be conceived as his

decolonizing task, his surpassing the identity of a colonial subject.

His notion of the self is both personal and specific while it is indivisible from "life", to

which his and other personal lives are referenced. This life-self is obviously not static, it is a

poesis, a becoming, in and through imagination. The nature of this self, which personally and

experientially takes the form of personality, follows also "the path of human evolution" which

www.ijohmn.com

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

connects with others and displays "the qualities of creativity" which embody and project an

excess

37 or surplus of affectivity and formal evocation. In this formulation reason, for

Rabindranath, need not only be utilitarian rationalism, a faculty for abstraction, but can also be

a reflexive and critical faculty. It is with this recognition and ability that Gora grows up from

a narrow nationalist to a humanist and Bimala or Nikhilesh in Ghare Baire (Home and the

World) learn to go beyond their initial, immediately reactive selves. This form of reason need

not be antithetical to nature, if nature itself can be comprehended as Rabindranath seems to

think, of having two aspects or levels. On one level nature, for him, is physical, primordial or

instinctual; but on the other hand nature also has an aspect of nurturing, of inherent sociality.

And both nature, in this sense, and reason cross over into each other at the level of the

imaginative, which is simultaneously empathic and aesthetic:

I have expressed my belief that the first stage of my realization was through my feeling

of intimacy with

Nature...not that Nature which has its channel of information for our mind and physical

relationship with our living body, but that which satisfies our personality with manifestations

that make our life rich and stimulate our imagination in their harmony of forms, colours, sounds

and movements. It is not that world which vanishes into abstract symbols behind its own

testimony to Science, but that which lavishly displays its wealth of reality to our personal self-

having its own perpetual reaction upon our nature.<sup>38</sup>

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

This possibility of a constitutive relationship between nature and reason through the mediation of imagination is productive of subjectivities, self-other relations, and cultural identities which are positively social and humane. This worldview of nature and reason as human and social nature and social reason is beyond the reach of Hobbes or social Darwinism. The triad of reason, nature and imagination together form the building blocks of sociality, the basic ground for a universalized identification. It is this realization that Gora comes to by the end of the novel and expresses in his idea of "India" and in his feeling of oneness with its millions of impure, nameless, non-brahminical people. For Rabindranath the social being of this human self is susceptible to empathy and universalist humanism, unless it is ideologically distorted or deformed through both colonialism and nationalism. Both colonial hegemony and nationalist ideology, incorporated in binaries of power, thus become mirrors of each other. But this intimation of one"s own and the other"s humanity is not an automatic or unconscious/instinctive natural reflex. On the contrary, it involves practice, a critical, reflective, gradual and constructive process. It entails not simply joy, but sorrow and sacrifice, painful learning. Even when the universal human cannot be approximated, as it cannot for those subject to colonial or any other form of domination, it still remains at the level of a socially awakened desire, as what Ernst Bloch called "the principle of hope". As shown in Ghare Baire, for example, Rabindranath could not celebrate the visceral passions of nationalism as embodied in Sandip. He could, as Bimala, feel its seduction, but equally as Bimala, feel its repulsion and a deep affinity with Nikhilesh"s non-sectarian humanist/modernist outlook.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

This homology Rabindranath established between social Darwinian naturalism and

nationalism, his perception in it of passion without compassion, of a ruthless negative bond

between the self and the other, as between the colonizer and the colonized, allowed

Rabindranath to read and construct nationalism through the trope of both an aggressive and

yearning sexuality. His stance is remarkable in dissociating women from the Indian/Bengali

nationalist trope of motherhood. Themes of domination and subordination, the problematic of

freedom, the vicissitudes of self-making, the pedagogy of the self, are all thematic presences

in his novels. The plots of his novels which are mostly triangular narrativize and dramatize his

project. They generally consist of two closely connected men, attached to the same woman, on

whom rests the burden of choice between them. Almost allegorically the men embody

dominant qualities of reason and nature in antithetical proportions. This allegorical, poetic and

parable-like structure, for example of *Char Adhyay* (Four Chapters), allowed Rabindranath to

write the painful reflexive bildungsroman of arriving to imaginative reason which can achieve

decolonization in its fullest sense, and the exposure through the characters and their conflicts

and consonances

of divergent moral and political imperatives. By transparently bringing together the

personal and the political he pushed his narrative to the edge of lived time, and placed his

characters on the cusp of being and becoming – the journey of human development.

These novels share thematic and philosophical grounds with Rabindranath's plays.

Raktakarabi (Red Oleander), for instance, establishes a creative, developing and struggling

relationship between nature and the social. The techno-authoritarian and utilitarian abstraction

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

of the king"s world of extraction of the earth"s resources and of calculation of production of

wealth is finally overcome by a popular revolt brought about by imagination resonating with

empathy, poetry and music. In the character of the king we see the transformation of a techno-

fascist into a lover of the human, the other and the aesthetic. If we consider politics of

decolonization in these terms, the question of politics includes the immense realms of desire,

affirmative social relations and relationship to creativity/imagination, all of which constantly

shape the self and provide content for subjectivity.

Rabindranath"s complex evaluation of nationalism and decolonization,

considerations of postcoloniality, reveal to us the double face of what has come to be called

"modernity". Sharing a principle of secularism in common, rooted in different and distinct

notions of the individual, these two faces of modernity are those of colonial, dominating,

technocratic power, and a humanist, democratic or socially creative impulse. One runs on the

principle of hate and acquisition and the other on empathy and crossing over spaces. In one

difference causes aggressive narcissism, and in the other empathy and coexistence. It is the

colonial modernity of imperial Japan that Rabindranath condemns in his letter to the imperial

poet Yone Noguchi and not the other. He opens up to us the paradox of modernity, the pitfalls

of the project of self-making, of self-other relations, in the contexts of domination or

empathetic coexistence, of friendship.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

At this point a comparison with European modernity, which also sought to develop the

new man and society, is called for. Rabindranath"s self-creation is radically different from that

of Nietzsche, for example. Though both display the same intense concern for self-making, may

even be called obsessed with the aesthetics of the self, and are both concerned with the theme

of the surpassing the immediate, with the creation of a more than life size overman who

constantly transgresses the boundaries of the now and the given, they play out this theme of

human development in very different ways. It is here that we can lean on David McNally"s

insight in

Bodies of Meaning: Studies on Language, Labor and Liberation, where he discloses

the anti-social character of Nietzsche's notions of the self, self-development or the new man

- the result of human development from the stage of non-humans/animals, personified by

Zarathustra:

For millennia, claims Nietzsche, human history has been a story of the victory of the

weak over the strong, herd-instinct over individualism, slave morality over aristocracy, the

subjugation of the rare and the exceptional to the vulgar and the common. But today...the

development of hard, courageous individuals ready to accept the challenge of thinking against

truth and morality requires reversing this state of affairs...and establishing a new race of

masters. And Nietzsche does not shrink from spelling out the politics of his attack on slave

morality, socialism, and democracy. "We simply do not consider it desirable that a realm of

justice and control should be established on earth..." He continues: "We count ourselves

among conquerors; we think about the necessity for new orders, also for a new slavery..."<sup>39</sup>

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Nietzsche"s stance, his recommendations and aspirations, are the exact antithesis of

Rabindranath"s.

The self and the subject that is sought to be developed by Rabindranath is also an

idealized human persona, idealist in its epistemology, but it is not that of a master of the master

race. Rabindranath"s stance of idealism, his metaphysics, his type of social humanism, is never

compromised by being conflated with the immediately actual, and thus as uncompromised

metaphysics has a critical edge. Nietzsche, on the other hand, compromised his idealist vision

and its potential for critique with a peculiarly 19<sup>th</sup> century European colonial empiricist twist

by making his ideal man the archetypal colonial capitalist man. This is done by switching his

position of an emancipatory creative modernism to one of colonial modernity, from the creation

of an individualizing self to rabid individualism and a racialized view of civilization with an

extreme display of colonial discourse. It is this empiricist and bourgeois colonialist stance that

made Nietzsche"s superman, his philosophy of a surpassing self, so vulnerable to later Nazi

and generally fascistic appropriation. Compromise with an anti-social humanism, scientifism

and racist evolutionism exacted its toll. Instead of empathy and imaginative identification,

hatred of the "other(s)", whom he calls "the herd", marks his typology of the surpassing man.

His specialness turns out to be an elitism, a posturing, a personalized version of competitive

capitalist ethos. Thus the loneliness of Zarathustra is a proclaimed badge of pride. <sup>40</sup> Zarathustra

had traveled only in order to arrive to his singular, solitary mountain top, to be away from

ordinary mortals. He had reached the stage of a reified identity – that of the colonial ideal white

man, so to speak, who would never be able to open himself to other(s) influence.

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Rabindranath"s mahamanab (the great human), on the other hand, is replete with potential

because he is incomplete and seeks others for his completion in an ever extending gesture of

embrace. He is in a process

of constant becoming, his physiognomy is not fixed, he is never always already there.

His transcendence is a constant unfolding which always moves beyond the immediate and the

local. Unlike Zarathustra, he is never an achieved human type and he is not even temporarily

compromised with the actual. In this formulation development is by nature "human

development" and it is an incomplete project to be carried out through time and social

participation. Its pedagogy is never over nor fixed. If it were to be, the pedagogue would

become a

pedantic spewer of clichés, a fool that forgets that the educator too needs to be educated.

Conclusion

It is obvious that so far I have presented an alternative vision of development. It is the

human side of development, a contrast to the other, techno-romantic, profit developing

acquisitive one. And I may be questioned by diehard realists, kings of numbers, about my

utopianism, spun off from Rabindranath's own. I can only reply in Yeats" words – that "in

dreams begin responsibility". Ultimately this utopia, this humanist universalism, will have to

come to terms with the embodied, social dimension of the realization process. And that is where

the test lies. The process of this human development will have to dismantle existing structures

and ideologies, cultures and psychic reflexes of property and propriety, of habitual rendering

ISSN: 2395 - 5155

Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

of others into objects of service, of self-gratification. It will signal a moment when George W. Bush"s advice to cheer up by going shopping in the face of the disaster will be inconceivable. Rabindranath"s vision and small practical experiments will have to fuse with Marx"s vision, analysis and politics against capitalist alienation. Marx, in the *Philosophical Manuscripts of 1844*, spoke about social relations of accumulation and power which produce alienation in us

– an alienation from one"s own self, from others and from creations of one"s own hands. This needs to be challenged with an anti-capitalist development with a "new humanist" vision and deeds. For this "new humanism" we can return to the theme of decolonization and the pedagogy of a decolonized self and society. Once more we can remember Frantz Fanon, who forged the idea of a substantive human subject in the fire of

Algerian struggle for independence. About this "new humanism" Ato Sekyi-Otu, in his Fanon"s Dialectic of Experience, has something important to say, since it is the goal of decolonization: "Fanon…tells us that it is from the vortex of lived political experience that a novel idea of humanity would be refashioned: "In the objectives and methods of the struggle…is prefigured this new humanism.""<sup>41</sup>

Now, Rabindranath never forgot the fact of India"s colonized condition, nor the deformation introduced by

it. The struggle for decolonization that he undertook through his aesthetic and ethical pedagogy was political in a highly nuanced and social way. It seems unlikely that he would have rejected Fanon's, new humanism', his

ISSN: 2395 – 5155 Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

42 "partisan universalism".

# References

Amiya Kumar Bagchi, Perilous Passage: Mankind and the Global Ascendancy of Capital.

Delhi: Oxford University Press (2006). p. 4.

ISSN: 2395 – 5155 Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

- Manik Bandyopadhyay, "The Reptiles" (Sarisrip), in *Shreshtha Galpa*, Kolkata: Bengal Publishers Ltd. (2002).
- Mahasweta Debi, "Salt" (Nun), in *Nairite Megh* (Clouds in the Southwest). Kolkata: Karuna Prakashani (1979).
- See for example, for general critique, Samir Amin, Unequal Developments: An Essay on Social Formations of Peripheral

Capitalism. New York: Monthly Review Press (1976); Amiya Kumar Bagchi, Political Economy of Underdevelopment. Cambridge UK:

Cambridge University Press (1982). See also, Caren Grown and Gita Sen,

Development, Crisis and Alternative Vision: Third World Perspectives. New York:

Monthly Review Press (1987). For feminist critiques, see Swasti Mitter, Common Fate,

Common Bond. London: Pluto Press (1986); Maria Mies, Patriarchy and Accumulation on a

World Scale.; Women in the International Division of Labour. London: Zed Books

(1986).

See David McNally, *Another World is Possible*. Winnipeg: Arbeiter Ring (2002); Malini Bhattacharya (ed), *Globalization*. New Delhi: Tulika (2004), in association with School of Women's Studies, Jadavpur University. On agricultural crisis and food (in) security, see Utsa Patnaik, "The New Colonialism: Impact of Economic Reforms on

Employment and Food Security in India", in *Ibid.*; "Global Capitalism,

Deflation and Agrarian Crisis in Developing Countries", *Journal of Agrarian Change* 3, 1
2 (January & April 2003).

Walter Benjamin, "Theses on Philosophy of History", in *Illuminations*, edited by Hannah Arendt. New York: Schocken

# ISSN: 2395 – 5155 Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Books (1968).

- On location and situation of knowledge production, see Ato Sekyi-Otu, *Fanon*"s *Dialectic of Experience*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press (1996), p.11. On standpoint of knowledge and issues of ideology, see also Dorothy E. Smith, "Women"s Experience as a Radical Critique of Sociology", in *Conceptual Practices of Power: A Feminist Sociology of Knowledge*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press (1995).
- See Jorge Larrain, *Theories of Development: Capitalism, Colonialism and Dependency*.

  Cambridge, Mass: Blackwell (1989), and also, for the concepts "the modern" and "modernity" see Raymond Williams, *Keywords: A Vocabulary of Culture and Society*. London: Fontana Paperbacks (1983).
- Emma Rothschild, *Economic Sentiments: Adam Smith, Concordet and the Enlightenment.*Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press (2002)
- Sandra G. Harding (ed), The "Racial" Economy of Science: Towards a Democratic Future.

  Bloomington: Indiana University Press (1993); Science and Social Inequality:

  Feminist and Postcolonial Issues. Urbana: University of Illinois Press (2006);

  Sander Gilman, Difference and Pathology: Stereotypes of Sexuality, Race and

  Madness. Ithaca: Cornell University Press (1985); see also, Freud, Race and

  Gender. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press (1993); Stephen J. Gould, The

  Mismeasure of

  Man. New York: Norton (1993).

Michel Foucault, *Abnormal*. Edited by V. Marchetti and A. Salomoni. New York: Picador.

For the concept of "so-called primitive accumulation" see Karl Marx, *Capital*, Vol 1, chpt. 1.

Trans. by S. Moore and E. Aveling. Moscow: Foreign Language Publishing House (1955).

ISSN: 2395 – 5155 Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

- For critical geography and urban studies, see David Harvey, The Condition of
  - Postmodernism: An Enquiry into the Origins of Cultural Change. Oxford:
  - Blackwell (1989), and Mike Davis, *Planet of Slums*. London: Verso (2006). See also Bagchi, *Perilous Passage* and John S. Saul,
  - Development After Globalization: Theory and Practice for the Embattled South in a New Imperial Age. Gurgaon: Three Essays Collective (2006).
  - Michael Hardt and Antonio Negri, *Empire*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press (2000).
  - Ellen Meiksins Wood, Empire of Capital. London: Verso (2003).
  - Ward Churchill, A Little Matter of Genocide: Holocaust and Denial in the Americas, 1492 to the Present. Winnipeg: Arbeiter Ring (1998).
  - Adam Hochschild, King Leopold"s Ghost: A Story of Greed, Terror and Heroism in Colonial Africa. Boston: Houghton Mifflin (1998).
  - Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels, *The Communist Manifesto*. New York: Penguin (2006).

    See also Marshal Berman, *All That is Solid: The Experience of Modernity*. New York: Penguin (1988).
  - For reports on farmers" suicides in India, see reports by Navdanya, http://www.indiatogether.org/ 2007/nov/psa-mids1.htm.
  - Walter Benjamin, "The Work of Art in an Age of Mechanical Reproduction", in op.cit.
  - For conversion of water into a profitable commodity and dire consequences of this globally, see Maud Barlow, *Blue Gold*:

ISSN: 2395 – 5155 Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

The Battle against Corporate Theft of the World"s Water. Toronto: Stoddart (2002).

- For a useful study of migrant and undocumented workers, see Nandita Sharma, *Home Economics: Nationalism and the Making of "Migrant Workers" in Canada.*Toronto: University of Toronto Press (2006).
- For a feminist critique of development and land related issues, an important contribution is that of Bina Agarwal, *A Field of One''s Own: Gender and Land Rights in South Asia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (1994).
- "Femocracy" is a term originated in Australia, source unknown, to describe mainstream liberal feminists, who have become administrators or bureaucrats of the state and other ruling institutions.
- See for example Joseph Stiglitz, *Globalization and its Discontents*. New York: Norton (2003)
- Susan George, *How the Other Half Dies*. Harmondsworth: Penguin (1977); *A Fate Worse than Debt*. New York: Grove Press (1988).
- Utsa Patnaik, *The Long Transition: Essays on Political Economy*. New Delhi: Tulika (1999); Madhura Swaminathan and V.K. Ramachandran (eds), *Agrarian Studies: Essays on Agrarian Relations in Less-Developed Countries*. London: Zed Books (2003).
- C.B. MacPherson, *The Political Theory of Possessive Individualism: Hobbes to Locke.*London: Oxford University Press
- (1962); Democratic Theories: Essays in Retrieval. Oxford: Clarendon Press (1973).
- MacPherson, *The Life and Times of Liberal Democracy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press (1977).

ISSN: 2395 – 5155 Volume -I Issue-III April 2015

Frantz Fanon, The Wretched of the Earth. New York: Grove Press (1991), pp. 36-37.

Rabindranath Tagore, *The Religion of Man*. Boston: Beacon Press (1966), pp. 93-94.

Sumit Sarkar, *Swadeshi Movement in Bengal 1903-1908*. New Delhi: Popular Prakashan (1973).

Tagore, quoted in Krishna Dutta and Andrew Robinson, *Rabindranath Tagore: The Myriad-minded Man.* New Delhi: Rupa (2000), p. 221.

Ibid., p. 222

Bagchi, Perilous Passage, p. 48.

Kathleen M. O"Connell, *Rabindranath Tagore: The Poet as Educator*. Kolkata: Visva-Bharati (2002), p. 106. Though

O"Connell does not speak in terms of a "decolonizing" pedagogy, the book gives us an account of Rabindranath"s pedagogical trajectory in a detailed and straightforward manner which speaks in terms of transcendence and humanism.

Tagore, Religion of Man, p. 18.

David McNally, *Bodies of Meaning: Studies on Language, Labor and Liberation.* Albany: State University of New York Press (2001), p. 23.

Friedrich Nietzsche, *Thus Spoke Zarathustra*, trans. R.J. Hollindale. Harmondsworth: Penguin (1961).

Sekyi-Otu, op.cit., p. 21.

30. *Ibid.*, p. 118.